BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2010-132-W

IN RE:

Application of May River Water Company, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges for the provision of water service.)))	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS A. SMITH, III
))	

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Thomas A. Smith, III. My business address is 621 Stephenson

Avenue, Post Office Box 13705, Savannah, Georgia 31416.

4

1

5 Q. WHERE ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed as the Manager of the Applicant, May River Water Company, Inc.

("May River"). I have been employed in this position since 2009. I am also employed as
the Vice President of South Atlantic Utilities, Inc. I have been employed in this position
since 1990.

10

11

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor May River's application for an adjustment of certain rates and charges for the provision of water services. Also, I will be providing the Commission with an overview of May River and its operations, including

the area in which it is authorized to provide service, an outline of the history of the utility, a description of the facilities used and useful to May River and some of the expenses incurred in providing water service, and an explanation of May River's need for rate relief.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

1

2

3

4

Q. WHAT IS YOUR WORK EXPERIENCE AND BACKGROUND WITH MAY RIVER?

I have worked in various capacities with May River and its predecessor, South Atlantic Utilities, Inc., since approximately 1970. In that year, I began working for South Atlantic primarily assisting my father, who founded South Atlantic in 1968, with the construction of various water systems. Over the years, my summer jobs entailed working on South Atlantic's maintenance crew and I was directly involved in building water systems in Georgia. As well, in 1973, I assisted South Atlantic in constructing the May River System in South Carolina. After graduating from the Georgia Institute of Technology with a degree in Industrial Management and returning to Savannah, Georgia, I began my employment as General Manager for both South Atlantic and a sister water company, Chatham Water Company ("Chatham"). I served in this capacity from approximately 1989 to 1998 where I was responsible for all maintenance of the system, accounts payable and accounts receivable. Prior to 2009, South Atlantic operated in South Carolina in the area currently served by May River Water Company, Inc. By Order No. 2009-66, dated February 17, 2009, the Commission approved the transfer of the May River system to May River Water Company, Inc., and authorized May River to

operate within South Carolina and contain all of South Atlantic's South Carolina customers. I have been the Manager for May River Water Company, Inc. since its formation and acquisition of the May River System in 2009.

Q.

WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES IN YOUR CURRENT POSITION?

6 A. Currently, I manage May River's facilities including overseeing water testing and inspecting water systems and well sites. Additionally, I perform these services for three other water companies which are sister corporations of May River: South Atlantic, Chatham and Coastal Georgia Water Company.

Q.

A.

COULD YOU PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING MAY RIVER AND THE SERVICES IT PROVIDES?

May River Water Company, Inc. was formed in 2009 and provides water service to twenty-eight (28) full-service customers and two availability customers, who are located in the May River Plantation Subdivision, during the test year. These customers are all located in May River's Commission approved service area in Beaufort County. Further, customers are billed bi-monthly as demonstrated by the sample bill included with the Application as Exhibit D.

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PLANT AND FACILITIES WHICH COMPRISE THE 2 WATER SYSTEMS IN THE SERVICE AREA?

The water system consists of water mains and distribution pipes which supply water to customers from a single-source deep drilled well. Additionally, the system utilizes a 5,000 gallon supply tank to ensure adequate capacity and pressure to the customers. In order to accurately measure customers' water usage and as part of a program to reduce costs while increasing revenues, South Atlantic, May River's predecessor, installed water meters in 1992.

Q.

A.

A.

IS THE COMPANY PROVIDING WATER SERVICE TO ITS CUSTOMERS IN CONFORMITY WITH ITS PERMIT FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL ("DHEC")?

Yes. As the letter attached to the Application as Exhibit "C" reflects, the Company holds all necessary permits to operate the facilities which DHEC has rated as "Satisfactory." During the test year, May River was not cited by DHEC for any major infractions and did not incur any fines. The Company is committed to operating in an environmentally responsible manner which is a costly undertaking.

1 Q. WHEN WAS A GENERAL RATE INCREASE LAST REQUESTED BY MAY 2 RIVER?

A. South Atlantic filed an application for a general rate increase on behalf of the Community Water System at May River Plantation on September 4, 2007. The Commission issued Order No. 2008-92, dated February 8, 2008, in Docket No. 2007-319-W, approving a rate increase for South Atlantic. After this approval and in accordance with the recommendations of the Commission and ORS in that proceeding, May River applied to the Commission to separate South Atlantic's South Carolina water systems and for approval to transfer these water systems to May River.

Q.

A.

WHY IS MAY RIVER REQUESTING RATE RELIEF AT THIS TIME?

For the test year ended December 31, 2009, May River earned on a per book basis a (194.30%) operating margin (loss) and experienced a net loss of (\$22,897.08). This is based upon per books revenues of only \$11,784.38 and expenses of \$34,681.46. Without rate relief, May River will become unable to meet its financial obligations. Such a scenario places in jeopardy May River's ability to continue to provide safe, reliable and efficient water utility services to its customers.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RATE CHANGES AS PROPOSED IN THE APPLICATION TO THE COMPANY'S WATER RATE SCHEDULE.

A. Currently, the Company charges \$30.00 per residence per month for water service. This amount includes monthly water consumption of 10,000 gallons. For

consumption in excess of 10,000 gallons per month, May River imposes an additional charge of \$2.00 for each additional 1,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons. In its application, May River proposes to increase the full-service water charge to \$65.00 per month or single-family equivalent ("SFE") for the first 2,000 gallons of water consumed. For consumption exceeding this amount, the following additional fees would be applied:

0 - 4,000 gallons

\$4.50 per 1,000 gallons

Next 2,000 gallons

\$5.50 per 1,000 gallons

Over 6,000 gallons

\$6.50 per 1,000 gallons

Additionally, the Company is seeking to increase its currently charged availability fees from \$16.00 per month to \$32.00 per month. These rates, which will continue to be billed on a bi-monthly basis, will allow the Company to earn a reasonable level of revenue while the cost to the customer will remain reasonable, given the size of the water system. Additionally, the division of the Company's charges for water consumption into three separate "tiers" will encourage water conservation and will allow customers to better manage their individual charges through the amount of water consumed.

Q.

A.

WHAT HAS MAY RIVER'S EXPERIENCE BEEN WITH THE COSTS OF OPERATION SINCE ITS LAST RATE INCREASE?

It has been two (2) years since the May River's last rate increase and, in that time, the Company's expenses have increased across the board. The Company has incurred increased operational expenses such as purchased power, and increases to other expenses, such as taxes, license fees and assessments. Furthermore, increased federal and state

1		regulations have changed the way in which water utilities conduct their business.
2		Additional costs have been placed upon water utilities to comply with more exacting
3		limits in both areas. These increased expenses are reflected in the financial statements
4		included with the Company's application and the testimony of Ms. Jackie Watson which
5		clearly demonstrate that we are operating at a financial loss.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IMPROVEMENTS HAS MAY RIVER MADE TO THE SYSTEM SINCE
8		THE LAST GENERAL RATE INCREASE?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

A.

- Although the water system serving May River Plantation is small, the Company has maintained the system and made several improvements which benefit its customers. Included among these are the following:
 - Installation of fencing at the well site;
 - Installation of backflow preventers at each residence;
 - Installation of an hour meter on the well pump;
- Repair/replacement/installation of meters for certain customers;
 - General repairs on the system;
- 17 General well site maintenance
 - As well, the Company incurred expenses regarding its legal title to the well, resulting in the recordation of corrective deeds and easements to protect May River's real property interests in the system and the well site.

PREVIOUSLY, WUM'S COSTS TO OPERATE THE MAY RIVER SYSTEM
WERE ALLOCATED BASED UPON THE NATURE OF THE SERVICES
PROVIDED. CURRENTLY, MAY RIVER PAYS A FLAT MONTHLY FEE TO
WUM. COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHY MAY RIVER CHANGED THE NATURE
OF ITS BUSINESS INTERACTIONS WITH WUM?

Certainly. As I mentioned previously, the water system serving the May River Plantation subdivision was previously operated by South Atlantic. South Atlantic provided water and sewer services to its customers in both South Carolina and Georgia. Because the operations of water and sewer public utilities in Georgia, including the establishment of rates, are largely unregulated, the accounting requirements for South Atlantic's South Carolina operations were vastly different. As a result of the difference in regulation between the two states, the Company and ORS encountered difficulties during the audit of South Atlantic in the prior rate case.

Q.

A.

A.

Q.

COULD YOU DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF THESE DIFFICULTIES?

Yes. Previously, WUM's costs to provide operational services to the May River Plantation system were allocated based on the nature of the services provided. The reimbursements to WUM were simply expense allocations which were based on actual expenses incurred by WUM. As I understand the nature of an audit in a ratemaking case, the auditor, in this case ORS, seeks to verify the revenue realized and the expenses incurred by the public utility. Because South Atlantic's operations were primarily based in Georgia and, therefore, its operations in that state were largely unregulated, it

experienced difficulty in providing documentation for some of WUM's allocated expenses which ORS deemed necessary to verify.

A.

Q. DID SOUTH ATLANTIC RESOLVE THESE ISSUES?

Yes. As indicated in Commission Order No. 2009-66, ORS, in the course of its audit during the rate case, recommended that South Atlantic segregate its South Carolina operations from its Georgia operations. In order to efficiently implement this recommendation, South Atlantic transferred its South Carolina operations to an independent entity known as May River. Since that time, May River has operated only in South Carolina separate and apart from South Atlantic. As part of this transition, May River elected to remit a monthly service charge to WUM instead of having these operational costs allocated. As the Commission is aware, May River is an extremely small water system serving twenty-eight water customers and two availability customers. Therefore, May River's customer base comprises only 0.3% of the approximately 10,000 customers served by WUM and its sister companies in Georgia. Because the vast majority of these operations are unregulated and, therefore, the auditing of these expenses created difficulties for both ORS and the Company, WUM did not believe it feasible to continue allocating these costs for such a small customer base.

Q. COULD YOU EXPLAIN THE MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGE PAID BY MAY RIVER TO WUM?

May River remits a monthly payment to WUM of \$2,250 ("Management Fee") to operate the May River Plantation System in South Carolina. This fee appropriately reflects WUM's price to provide these services. Because WUM charges on a flat basis, May River's cost to obtain these services is easily auditable, captures the total charges incurred by May River to operate the May River system and eliminates the accounting difficulties inherent with reviewing allocated expenses – especially given May River's extremely small customer base compared to the operations of its sister companies.

Q.

A.

A.

ARE THESE CHARGES REASONABLE?

Yes, they are. First, as more fully discussed by Jackie Watson, the monthly Management Fee of \$2,250 reflects WUM's costs to operate the May River system. These costs reflect WUM's costs of providing personnel, equipment, office space, billing systems, insurance and other similar overhead expenses. Given the size of its water system and the number of customers, if May River incurred these costs independently, its expenses would be much greater. Additionally, at ORS's request, May River requested and received quotes from other providers who provide similar water operation services. In particular, these services include taking necessary water samples, well and system inspections, system flushing and reporting, meter reading, billing, maintaining necessary South Carolina licenses and permits, regulatory and rate case assistance, and being on call twenty-four hours a day for system interruptions and emergencies. The prices

charged by these providers for these services similar to those provided by WUM range in amounts from \$2,125 to \$3,000 per month and is more fully reflected in Exhibits TS-1 and TS-2. These quotes demonstrate that the price May River pays for these services is equivalent to what is available in the open marketplace. Therefore, I believe that the Management Fee charged by WUM reflects a price which would be obtained at armslength and is, therefore, reasonable.

Q.

A.

DID MAY RIVER EXPERIENCE ANY CUSTOMER GROWTH DURING THE TEST YEAR?

No, it did not. There is little opportunity for growth in the May River Plantation Subdivision and only two lots remain undeveloped. Therefore, an increase in May River's rate schedule is the only realistic manner in which May River can increase its revenues to a level which exceeds its current expenses.

A.

Q. WHAT IS A RATE INCREASE DESIGNED TO ACCOMPLISH FOR THE COMPANY?

An increase in May River's current rates would generate additional revenues that will allow May River to move closer to being on a sound financial footing, to allow it to raise additional capital, if necessary, and to increase its earnings to a more reasonable level through fair charges to the consumer. Additionally, increased revenue will allow May River to continue to make necessary repairs and operate the system in compliance with regulatory requirements.

Q. ARE THE RATES AND CHARGES PROPOSED FAIR, REASONABLE, AND NECESSARY IN ORDER FOR THE COMPANY TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE

A SAFE AND RELIABLE WATER SERVICE?

Yes. The financial statements and the testimony of Ms. Watson clearly reflect that we are operating at a financial loss. In order to continue to provide quality water services, the Company must have rate relief. The Company believes that the proposed rates fairly distribute the cost to the consumer of providing those services, while at the same time placing May River on a more solid financial footing. It is our belief that the rates requested are reasonable, fair, responsible, non-discriminatory and justified in light of the customer needs, the Company's requirements to meet the customers' needs, and the Company's obligation to do so in compliance with regulations of this Commission, DHEC, and other regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over the Company.

A.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes, it does.

Water Service Provider	Estimate (\$ Amount per month)
MSO Water Systems	\$2,125.00
Lakeside Water Company, Inc.	\$2,250.00
Consolidated Utilities, Inc.	\$3,000.00

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2010-132-W

IN RE:)	
)	
Application of May River Water)	AFFIDAVIT OF LINDSAY MARTIN
Company, Inc. for adjustment of)	
rates and charges for the provision)	
of water service.)	

Personally appeared before me, Lindsay Martin who after being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

- I am a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia, am in excess of eighteen years
 of age and am competent to make this affidavit. The facts stated in this affidavit are within my
 personal knowledge and are true and correct.
- 2. I am the Owner and Operator of MSO Water Systems, Inc. ("MSO"), which provides operation, installation, management and maintenance services for water systems owned by private water companies.
- 3. On November 17, 2010, I issued, on behalf of MSO, a proposal to May River Water Company, Inc. for the management of its water system located in Bluffton, South Carolina ("Proposal"). A copy of the Proposal is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."
- 4. As indicated in the Proposal, MSO would charge \$2,125.00 per month for the management of the May River Plantation subdivision water system.

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME Tais day of 7000, 2010

Celeb y

Notary Public for Georgia
My Commission Explres:

Company of the Compan

- 2



MSO Water Systems

236 N. Main St. Statesboro, GA 30458 Phone 912.489.6668 Fax 912-489-5189 www.msowatersystems.com

November 16, 2010

PROPOSAL

Objective

Provide professional assistance in the management of the May River Water System in Bluffton, S.C.

Scope of Services

- 1. Take all samples for water systems that each state requires.
- 2. Take samples once a year from customers per state requirements.
- 3. Inspect the wells and systems weekly for state requirements.
- Work closely with several State and Local Agencies including DHEC (Department of Health and Environmental Control) and individual customers for state required inspections.
- 5. Flush systems as needed and record on monthly reports
- 6. Read meters, record, and bill customers, on a monthly bases.
- 7. Acquire S.C. Operator's license.
- 8. Handle next rate case before S.C. Public Service Commission.
- 9. On call 24 hours for emergencies.

Cost of Services

A fee of \$2,125.00 per month

We appreciate the opportunity to service you and if you have any further questions please feel free to call me.

n Ald

Lindsay Martin

Owner/Operator

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2010-132-W

IN RE:)	
Application of May River Water)	AFFIDAVIT OF DENNIS C. MORRIS
Company, Inc. for adjustment of)	
rates and charges for the provision)	
of water service.)	
)	

Personally appeared before me, Dennis C. Morris who after being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

- I am a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia, am in excess of eighteen years
 of age and am competent to make this affidavit. The facts stated in this affidavit are within my
 personal knowledge and are true and correct.
- 2. I am the President of Lakeside Water Company, Inc. ("Lakeside"), which provides operation, installation, management and maintenance services for water systems owned by private water companies and owns and operates community water systems.
- 3. On January 14, 2008, I issued, on behalf of Lakeside, a proposal to May River Water Company, Inc. for the management of its water system located in Bluffton, South Carolina ("Proposal"). A copy of the Proposal is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."
- 4. As indicated in the Proposal, Lakeside would charge \$2,250.00 per month for the management of the May River Plantation subdivision water system.

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME This 10 day of 100, 2010

Notary Public for Georgia My Commission Expires:

SHERRI L. KIDWELL Notary Public, Effingham County State of Georgia
My Commission Expires September 22, 2013



Water System and Pump

- Repairs
- MaintenanceOperations
- Operations
- Installations

Proposal for the Management of May River Water Company, Inc. Located in Bluffton, SC

Lakeside Water Company would:

- Be on call 24 hours a day for leak repair and emergencies
- · Read meters and handle billings every two months
- · Read the well head meter and motor meter weekly
- · Inspect the tank weekly
- · Take monthly bacteriological test and deliver to lab
- Take Lead & Copper and other required water tests as needed
- · Oversee installment of a fence at the well site
- · Become a licensed South Carolina operator
- Handle the next rate increase case that is eligible in September 2008
- Exercise all valves
- Implement a water system flushing program

Lakeside Water Company would charge \$__2,250.00__ per month for the management of the May River water system.

Dennis C. Morris, President

__January 14, 2008___

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2010-132-W

IN RE:	
Application of May River Water Company, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges for the provision of water service.	AFFIDAVIT OF ANTHONY H. ABBOTT ABBOTT
)

Personally appeared before me, Anthony H. Abbott who after being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

- I am a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia, am in excess of eighteen years
 of age and am competent to make this affidavit. The facts stated in this affidavit are within my
 personal knowledge and are true and correct.
- 2. I am the President of Consolidated Utilities, Inc. ("Consolidated"), which provides operation, installation, management and maintenance services for water systems owned by private water companies and owns and operates community water systems.
- 3. On November 9, 2010, I issued, on behalf of Consolidated, a proposal to May River Water Company, Inc. for the management of its water system located in Bluffton, South Carolina ("Proposal"). A copy of the Proposal is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."
- 4. As indicated in the Proposal, Consolidated would charge \$3,000.00 per month for the management of the May River Plantation subdivision water system.

Anthony Abbott

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME This Lay of <u>Vovember</u>, 2010

Notary Public for Georgia

My Commission Expires:

CONSOLIDATED UTILITIES, INC. 119 West Oglethorpe Avenue Savannah, GA 31401

November 9, 2010

RE: Management of May River Water Company, Inc. Bluffton South Carolina

Consolidated Utilities, Inc., for the sum of \$3,000.00 per month, would manage the above water system, which would include the following:

- 1. Obtain a South Carolina operators license
- 2. Read all meters and bill customers bi-monthly for usage
- 3. Monitor and read weekly well head and motor meters
- 4. Weekly tank inspections
- 5. Perform fecal coloform tests and deliver to the laboratory monthly
- 6. Perform any and all other required testing, including lead and copper
- 7. Implement and continue using a water system flushing program
- 8. Perform valve audit/exercise
- 9. Provide support services for the next rate increase case before the S.C. PSC at no additional cost, not including attorney's fees and accountant fees.
 - 10. Provide 24 hour on-call staff for emergencies

Thank you.

Anthony H. Abbott

President